

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,425	08/05/2003	Mark C. Bergman	BERGO.002C1 7334		
20995	7590 02/07/2005		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE M. 2040 MAIN S	ARTENS OLSON &	DOAN, ROBYN KIEU			
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614	3732			

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/635,4	25	BERGMAN, MARI	K C.		
		Examine	•	Art Unit			
	-	Robyn Do		3732			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHO THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ions of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commiteriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum stato reply within the set or extended period for reply voly received by the Office later than three months af patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. of days, a reply within the state that of the state of t	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time! the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>05 August 200</i> 3	1				
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4) \(\times \) (4) \(\times \) (5) \(\times \) (6) \(\times \) (7) \(\times \) (7)	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.						
Applicatio	n Papers						
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including he oath or declaration is objected to	•			• •		
·	rider 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
_	•	ior forgian nelocity	dor 25 5 C 5 440/~	\ (d) or (f)			
a)[cknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: . Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of the priority of All Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	50.040	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ⁻ ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/635,425

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergman (5495863) in view of Morin et al (4556074).

With regard to claims 1-9, Bergman clearly discloses the claimed invention (figs. 1-5, col. 3-col. 5) except for a first and second elongate tines extending outwardly from the bottom of the head of the device, the length of each of the first and second tines being less than the length of the floss extending between the exit and entrance apertures and the entrance and/or exit aperture being tapered. Morin et al discloses a handheld flossing device (fig. 1) comprising a body having a first tine (28) with an exit aperture, a second tine (30) with an entrance aperture, a length of the first and second tines being less than a length of a floss (56) extending between the exit aperture and the entrance aperture (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the first and second tines as taught by Morin et al into the flossing device of Bergman for the intended use purpose. And it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3732

invention was made to construct the entrance and/or exit aperture being tapered, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of the component.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Arias is cited to show the state of the art with respect to a flossing device.

The drawings filed 08/05/03 have been approved by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-7:00; alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robyn Doan Examiner

February 3, 2005

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Page 4